(60)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 211 of 2005

PETITIONER : Cement Corporation of India Mandhar Cement Plant, Mandhar, Raipur. Through: General Manager,

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. Union of India through Secretary Labour Deptt. Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi.
2. Appellate Authoerity under Payment of Gratuity Act, Regional Labour Commissioner (Central Government) Jabalpur (Madhya Pradesh)
3. Controlling Authority Under Payment of Gratuity Act, Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central Government) Anand Nagar, Raipur.
4. Shri Manbodh S/o Shri Jharihar Sahu, Village & Post Officer: Mandhar, Distt: Raipur.
5. Shri G.P.Kashyap Contractor, Mandhar Cement Factory, Mandhar Raipur.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

Single Bench : Hon'ble Justice Shri Satish K. Agnihotri.

Present: Shri N.K.Vyas, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri S.K.Thomas, Advocate for respondent no. 5.

ORDER

(Passed on 4th day of May, 2007)

1. The petitioner is a unit of the Cement Corporation of India, a public undertaking, involved in manufacturing of cement. The respondent No. 4 is a contract worker, engaged by the respondent no. 5. The petitioner Corporation filed an appeal before the Appellate Authority, under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 (for short 'the Act, 1972) and Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Jabalpur (M.P.) against the order dated 3.12.2003 passed by the Controlling authority and A.L.C. (C) Raipur. The Appellate Authority declined

(61)

to entertain the appeal on the ground that the gratuity amount, as required was not paid by the petitioner-Corporation.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner-Corporation submits that the petitioner-Corporation is ready and willing to deposit the gratuity amount as required under second proviso to Section 7(7) of the Act, 1972.

3. Thus, in view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the impugned order dated 3rd March, 2004 (Annexure P/1) is set aside. The appellate Authority is directed to consider the appeals filed by the General Manager, Cement Corporation of India-petitioner, subject to the petitioner's depositing the gratuity amount within a period of two weeks from today.

4. In view of the foregoing, this petition is allowed to the above extent. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge